## **Academic Peers Feedback on Curriculum**

Campus:		Department:	
Program:		Program Type:	
Academic Year:		Semester:	
Academic Peer Detail	ls		
Name:		Designation/Position:	
Organization:		Address:	
Contact No.:		Email:	
1. How do you rate the	sequence of the Courses	provided in the curriculu	am?
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor
2. How do you rate the course?	syllabus of the courses in	n relation to the compete	ncies expected out of the
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor
3. How do you rate the	structure framed for enti	re program?	
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good 2. Average	1. Poor
4. How do you rate the	sequence of the units in	the course?	
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor
5. How do you ra <mark>te</mark> the	allocation of the credits	to the courses?	
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor
6.How do you rate the	distribution of the contac	t hours among the course	e components (L-T-P)?
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor
7.offering of the elective streams?	ves in terms of their relev	ance to the 7.How do yo	u rate the specialization
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor
8.How do you rate the	electives offered in relati	on to the Technological	advancements?
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor
9. How do you rate the recognition to the cour	relevance of the Text Boses?	ooks and reference books	by their International
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor

## Managed by G P Jain Charitable Trust



10. How do you rale thave studied in the pr	•	ourses that you have studied	d are III sequence to what you	
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor	
11. Rate the Size of s	yllabus in terms of the	load on the student		
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor	
12. Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self learning considering the design of the courses				
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good 2. Average	1. Poor	
13.Rate the courses in been covered.	n terms of sequence of	offering considering wheth	er the preceding courses have	
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor	
14. How do you Rate the loading of the courses in a semester?				
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor	
15. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?				
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor	
16. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?				
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor	
17. How do you rate competencies expected out of the course?				
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor	
18. How do you rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project etc.?				
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor	
19. How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?				
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor	
20. How do you rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB components?				
5. Excellent	4. Very Good	3. Good2. Average	1. Poor	
Recommendations fo	r course improvement	(Please specify topics that s	should be added/dropped from	

Signature with Date

the course, ew books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc. if any)